

Join. Engage. Advance.

RSM

OESA AUTOMOTIVE SUPPLIER BAROMETER Q1 2019

PRODUCTION AND PLANNING

1

Executive Summary



Supplier Barometer Index (SBI) SBI Score = 35; down from Q4 level of 39

Pessimism has soared across all revenue groups due to continued trade tensions and poor sales performance, sending the SBI 15 points below the neutral threshold of 50 to the lowest level since 2009. Pessimism was felt across firms of all sizes but was especially dismal for companies with revenue greater than \$1 billion



Trade policy is identified as the greatest industry threat, followed closely by poor vehicle sales.

Trade policy remains the greatest industry threat, but improved slightly from Q4 2018

Poor sales of programs supplied was identified as the second largest threat to the industry

Production Breakeven Level Falls To 14.7m Units:

Suppliers hold a buffer between production and an estimated breakeven point, yet the gap continues to tighten



The median 'all-in' capacity utilization rate fell to 80% with the range of responses widening a bit compared to last year.

Over the past 6 years, there has been an estimated 5% rise in the median utilization rate.



Suppliers running over 90% utilization are taking the following actions to balance production requirements.

- New Capital Investment
- Production Scheduling Changes
- Expanding Operations
- Outsourcing
- Automation



Executive Summary



The primary internal production issue is a shortage of skilled labor

Engineering talent and availability improved compared to a year ago, but follows the shortage of skilled labor closely

Production overtime premiums and inventory carrying costs worsened compared to last year, while internal manufacturing constraints improved



Material cost premiums continue to be the primary sub-tier issue impacting suppliers' abilities to meet production requirements

Shortages of components and raw materials effected more of the supply base in comparison to last year, while liquidity shortages of sub-tier suppliers picked up



From the R&D budget, approximately one-fifth goes to research while four-fifths is allocated to development. Advanced material technologies remains the top priority for investments

Despite economic and political uncertainty, suppliers feel committed to R&D investment in the near-term



Inventories increased in 2018

Nearly half of all suppliers reported increased inventories compared to last year on sales/forecast misses and value based inventory gains



Suppliers are confident in their customers production releases are aligned to their sales and inventory requirements overall

Uncertainty is most apparent in programs to support car production as well as programs that support HEV/PHEV and BEV production

Suppliers are generally deflating their releases down through their supply chain more frequently compared to last year





SUPPLIER OUTLOOK



OESA Supplier Barometer: Q1 2019 Results

Describe the general twelve month outlook for your business. Over the past three months, has your opinion become...?





Supplier Barometer Index: (SBI and 6m Average)

Continued concerns over tariffs and trade policy pulled down the Q1 2019 OESA Supplier Barometer Index (SBI) by four points to 35, the lowest level since 2009.



OESA Supplier Barometer: Q1 2019 Results By Revenue

Describe the general twelve month outlook for your business. Over the past three months, has your opinion become..?



Regardless of revenue size, responses continue to reflect a high level of pessimism over Q4 2018. Sharply lower optimism is evident within the largest suppliers compared to prior quarter.



OESA Supplier Barometer: Industry Threats



Trade policy remains the greatest industry threat, at 3.6 in the first quarter, but improved slightly from Q4 2018 Poor sales of programs supplied was identified as the second largest threat at 4.3





PRODUCTION AND PLANNING



Production Planning: Breakeven and Year-End Estimates

Considering North America light duty vehicle production, estimate the required 2019 industry volume needed to achieve breakeven in your North American operations?



Source: IHS Markit (History, Sales and Production); IHS Markit (Sales Forecast)



Millions of Light Vehicles

Production Planning: Capacity Utilization

Please estimate your 'all-in' capacity utilization levels (in percent)

'All-in' capacity is the total of your current capacity utilization (current workforce levels and operating plant and equipment assuming 270 working days and 3 shifts) plus warm-idled capacity (idled capacity but being able to ramp up production within 3 months with minor capital needed) plus cold-idled capacity (idled but being able to ramp up production after 3 months with moderate levels of capital required).

| January 2019 | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Lower Quartile Value | Median Value | Upper Quartile Value | | |
| 70% | 80% | 87% | | |

The median 'all-in' capacity utilization rate fell to 80% with the range of responses widening marginally compared to last year.

| January 2018 | | January 2017 | | | January 2016 | | | |
|--------------|--------|--------------|----------|--------|--------------|----------|--------|----------|
| Lower | | Upper | Lower | | Upper | Lower | | Upper |
| Quartile | Median | Quartile | Quartile | Median | Quartile | Quartile | Median | Quartile |
| Value | Value | Value | Value | Value | Value | Value | Value | Value |
| 75% | 85% | 87% | 74% | 85% | 90% | 75% | 85% | 90% |

| January 2015 | | May 2014 | | | May 2013 | | | |
|--------------|--------|----------|----------|--------|----------|----------|--------|----------|
| Lower | | Upper | Lower | | Upper | Lower | | Upper |
| Quartile | Median | Quartile | Quartile | Median | Quartile | Quartile | Median | Quartile |
| Value | Value | Value | Value | Value | Value | Value | Value | Value |
| 66% | 80% | 86% | 70% | 80% | 90% | 65% | 75% | 85% |

Supplier efforts if over 90% capacity utilization: 21% of responding suppliers

- > New Capital Investment (5)
- > Production Scheduling Changes (4)
- > Expanding Operations (3)
- > Outsourcing (2)
- > Automation

Production Planning: Internal Issues

Over the next 12 months, identify which of the following internal issues you will face as you meet required levels of production?



Other Issues (6% Yes)

- We see many of our customers leaving the business. The people buying them tend to be clueless. A lot of talented people are looking to leave.
- EBIT

80%

- New requirements for cyber security and functional safety
- Expansions into other countries is still a big push so we are "prepared" to support the next wave of programs, but very few programs to support current activities are increasing risk levels.
- Negotiations with customers for tariff relief



Production Planning: Internal Issues

What steps are you taking at your firm to address the issues identified?

Engineering Talent/Availability

- Training and development (6)
- Outsourcing (4)
- Targeting recent graduates (3)
- Increasing salaries and benefits (3)
- Using consultants (2)
- Internships, co-ops, and apprenticeships (2)
- Marketing (2)

Production Overtime Premiums

- Efficiency improvements (3)
- Expansion (2)
- Additional hiring
- Temporary employees
- Driven by labor shortage

Skilled Labor Shortages

- Internal employee development (8)
- Increasing salaries and benefits (4)
- Internships, co-ops, and apprenticeships (4)
- Targeting recent graduates/Young Talent (3)
- Trade school/community college collaboration (2)

Hourly Labor Shortages

- Increased pay and benefits (6)
- Improve culture (2)
- Training programs (2)
- Automation (2)
- Direct hiring (2)

Production Planning: Internal Issues

What steps are you taking at your firm to address the issues identified?

Inventory Carrying Costs

- Tight inventory controls (4)
- Slower orders driving inventories higher (2)
- Managing tariff impact (2)

Re-allocation of Resources - Quality/Production

- Improving plant independence
- Minor issue
- Reflected in pricing
- Redesign work process

Set-up and Change-Over Costs

- SMED (2)
- Driving inefficiencies
- Kaizen events, customer/product line streamlining
- Training

Internal Manufacturing Capacity Constraints

- Adding capacity (2)
- Adding equipment (2)
- Utilization improvements
- Program delays

Outbound-Expedited Freight

- Efficiency improvements (4)
- Driven by labor shortages (2)
- Utilize a 3PL provider

Liquidity Shortages Within Your Own Company

- Driven by customers (3)
- Delaying payments
- Capital expense reductions and cash flow management
- Working through defined process



Production Planning: Sub-Tier

Over the next 12 months, identify which of the following issues your sub-tier suppliers will face as you meet required levels of production?





Production Planning: Sub-Tier

What steps are you taking at your firm to address the issues identified?

Production Scheduling Difficulties

- Increased communication (2)
- Monitoring and verify sub-supplier production
- Monitoring suppliers on-site
- Always an issue with OE forecast/demand

Transportation/Logistics Constraints

- Driver shortages (3)
- Slowing demand relief for logistics
- Customers requesting DDP terms

Component and Raw Material Shortages

- Electrical components (3)
- Resourcing (3)
- · Chemicals (2)
- Chargebacks to supplier

Material Cost Premiums

- Resourcing (6)
- Tariff impacts (4)
- Renegotiate with customers (4)
- Renegotiate with suppliers (2)

Inbound-Expedited Freight

- Resourcing
- Linked to component shortages

Liquidity Shortages Within Your Supply Base

Negotiate between Tier 2 and OEM



Production Planning: Confidence in Customer Releases



Q1 2019 OESA AUTOMOTIVE SUPPLIER BAROMETER

Production Planning: Releases to Supply Chain

Generally, across customers and programs, are you currently tending to inflate or deflate your releases down through your supply chain?



Comments:

Deflate

- To optimize inventory levels, and based on recent history, tending to reduce releases somewhat
- Especially for Passenger cars (non-light truck or SUV/CUV platforms)
- We see reductions in the forecasts when comparing 3-month, 2-month and 1-month data. Anticipating this trend to continue.

Pass Through

- A couple are deflated and a few are inflated. On average we are pass through.
- It depends on the customer

Inflate

· Short window release fluctuations are driving to inflate

Suppliers are generally deflating their releases down through their supply chain more frequently compared to last year, with 38% indicating deflation in 2019 up 14 ppts. from 2018



Production Planning: Finished Goods Inventory

Compared to average 2017 levels, how did your average 2018 finished goods inventory levels change?



Comments:

Decreased

- Improved inventory/release management (5)
- Cash control

Increased

- Sales/forecast miss (8)
- Productivity improvements (2)
- Value based inventory increase (2)
- Changing Production wheel to reduce late deliveries
- Complexity of parts delivered
- Transition from steel to aluminum
- Cut in call-offs in the last 6 weeks of the year left us with increased inventory
- Several program had product banking for new programs
- Inventory associated with delay of new powertrains
- Electronic components shortage pushed the company to increase its inventory to face coming months and eradicate premium freights and premium expense from brokers

After a successful year of inventory management in 2017, the percentage of suppliers with increased inventories rose 16 ppts. to 48%



Production Planning: Research & Development Spending

For 2018, estimate your R&D spending as a percent of total sales.

| | Lower Quartile | Median Value | Upper Quartile | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| R&D Share of Total Sales | | | | | | |
| 2019 | 2% | 4% | 6% | | | |
| 2018 | 3% | 4% | 5% | | | |
| 2017 | 2% | 4% | 6% | | | |
| 2015 | 2% | 3% | 5% | | | |
| 2014 | 2% | 3% | 5% | | | |
| 2012 | 2% | 3% | 5% | | | |

R&D Spending is essentially unchanged from last year, around 4% of total sales. Approximately 80% of the R&D budget is allocated towards the development of specific programs, while 20% is allocated to researching future technologies

For 2018 R&D budget, estimate the percent allocated to research and percent allocated to development.

| | Lower Median Quartile Value | | Upper Quartile | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Research budget (for future technologies) | | | | | | |
| 2019 | 10% | 20% | 30% | | | |
| 2018 | 20% | 32% | 44% | | | |
| 2017 | 10% | 20% | 40% | | | |
| 2015 | 20% | 30% | 50% | | | |
| 2014 | 16% | 30% | 50% | | | |
| 2012 | 20% | 30% | 50% | | | |

| | Lower Quartile | Median Value | Upper Quartile | | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Development budget (for specific programs) | | | | | | |
| 2019 | 70% | 80% | 90% | | | |
| 2018 | 50% | 67% | 80% | | | |
| 2017 | 58% | 75% | 85% | | | |
| 2015 | 35% | 67% | 80% | | | |
| 2014 | 50% | 70% | 84% | | | |
| 2012 | 50% | 70% | 80% | | | |



Research & Development Technology Investments

If you had additional dollars for R&D investment, rating in terms of importance, how would you allocate it across the following technology areas?





Research & Development Technology Investments

How committed is your organization to its R&D spending over a 2-3 year time horizon in the face of economic uncertainty?



Comments:

- Can't waste commitment
- Added new CTO and team and roadmaps and deliverables recently
- Large amount of launches are consuming more resources than planned as additional customer requirements are pushed downstream
- Not all business units are getting an equal % spent. More mature ones are getting a lower % and newer technologies are getting a high % spend
- It is key as our customers continue to off load engineering challenges on us
- We expect to stay course on advance development plans though cash/resource issues could impact



Join. Engage. Advance.

OESA Automotive Supplier Barometer is a survey of the top executives of OESA regular member companies. The OESA Automotive Supplier Barometer takes the pulse of the suppliers' twelve month business sentiment. In addition, it provides a snapshot of the industry commercial issues, business environment and business strategies that influence the supplier industry. www.oesa.org.

RSM US LLP is the leading provider of audit, tax and consulting services focused on the middle market, with nearly 10.000 professionals nationwide. It is a licensed CPA firm and the U.S. member of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax and consulting firms with more than 41,000 people in 116 countries. RSM uses its deep understanding of the needs and aspirations of clients to help them succeed. For more information, visit rsmus.com, like us on Facebook at RSM US LLP, follow us on Twitter @RSMUSLLP or connect with us on LinkedIn.

Survey Methodology

- Data collected February 14- March 1 via invitation to online survey.
- Executives of OESA supplier companies.
- 107 survey responses were received.

RSM

The information and opinions contained in this report are for general information purposes. Comments are edited only for spelling and may contain grammatical errors due to their verbatim nature. Responses to this survey are confidential. Therefore, only aggregated results will be reported and individual responses will not be released or shared.

Antitrust Statement:

Respondents/participants should not contact competitors to discuss responses, or to discuss the issues dealt with in the survey. It is an absolute imperative to consult legal counsel about any contacts with competitors. All pricing and other terms of sale decisions and negotiating strategies should be handled on an individual company basis.

Contacts

Mike Jackson **Executive Director** Strategy and Research 248,430,5954 mjackson@oesa.org

Joe Zaciek Manager **Research and Industry Analysis** 248.430.5960 jzaciek@oesa.org

Original Equipment Suppliers Association 25925 Telegraph Road Suite 350 Southfield, Michigan 48033

